

JURY SELECTION FOR DEATH PENALTY CASES CONFERENCE

March 1, 2019
APAAC Training Room
Phoenix, Arizona



JURY QUESTIONNAIRES

Presented by:

Paula Anderson

Trial Consultant

Anderson & Associates Research Services, Inc.

&

Patricia Stevens

Bureau Chief

Capital Litigation Bureau, Maricopa County Attorney's Office

Distributed by:

ARIZONA PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS' ADVISORY COUNCIL

1951 West Camelback Road, Suite 202

Phoenix, Arizona 85015

ELIZABETH ORTIZ
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

| # | Juror # | SJQ Rank | Sex | Age | Educ/Occup | DP | DV/Life Exp | Follow up Q's & F/U for Hardship/Cause | Notes |
|----------|---------|----------|-----|-----|--|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | ++ | M | 61 | Married/ retired GE Health care. SP: Library. Well educated. He has a Masters in Library Science | Thoughtful not emotional based response for DP question/ Thinks it's used appropriately. Not religious | Leader, detailed, risk. Honorable discharge from Navy. Worked with medical equip. & Nuclear weapons /Trusts psychologists. Good on battered women question. | F/U son being in detention. F/U #101. | Juror appears more conservative. Has sought counseling (marital) 20+ years ago- doesn't appear to have a lot of baggage. Good thoughtful answers on due process, DP, intelligent. No drama. |
| 2 | 4 | X | F | 38 | Married/ works at AMEX. BA accounting. SP: financial analyst | Not religious / participates charities. Weak on DP | Leader, detail, safety. Daughter seeing a psychologist. | Hardship: Migraines are a concern (happens 1 or 2 a yr). Wants to check with employer regarding H. F/U on aunt works for MCAO. F/U #107 & 108. F/U #113- frequency of DP. | This juror appears softer spec. to female and emotional issues. Even tho no DV background appears to connect with battered women def. interestingly DEF may want to get her for relation to MCAO. Not a strong juror for state. Letting her go for C or H would be better. |
| 3 | 7 | XX | F | 46 | Married -2x before/ Claims auditor for health claims | Somewhat weak DP response. Not religious. | Leader, detail. Safety. Daughter sees a psych. | F/U 1 st husband's abuse. F/U #95- immunity. | She is on meds that treat epilepsy &/or bipolar. Appears to connect with female V becuz of her own DV exp. & also with her daughter (troubled teen). Concerned that she will by def DF and good VD is needed to determine this. |
| 4 | 16 | +1/2 | F | 27 | Married/ senior tax analyst. SP: works in lab. Masters accounting. | Christian. Hasn't given much prior thought on DP. Would need to <u>be sure</u> . No convictions regarding DP? | Leader, detail, safety. She is very precise. Watched Snapped (TV)-no opinions, entertainment. | F/U DP? | Appears to be bright prof. no baggage. No prior drama. She does have perfectionistic tendencies that may concern me. Thoughtful juror who may be strong enough to see holes and wont be manipulated by DEF stories. |

| # | Juror # | SJQ Rank | Sex | Age | Educ/Occup | DP | DV/Life Exp | Follow up Q's & F/U for Hardship/Cause | Notes |
|---|---------|----------|-----|-----|---|---|--|--|--|
| 5 | 17 | XXX | F | 34 | Single/ self-employed artist. No employment listed | Says she is religious. DP- has no strong feelings on either DP or life in prison. | Leader & follower, detail, safety. Self-DEF of DV. Baby daddy drama. V of sexual misconduct when she was 6 yrs. Baby daddy was abusive to her. | F/U -ex was locked up?? Skipped #88-93 (Science)? F/U #117- "own faith". Get her for C if possible (unemployment- probation, were her civil rights restored?) | She is free spirited drama queen. Has all of the past life exp as DEF & is very weak on DP. Would overly relate to DEF & drama in her life. Has no real positive qualities for this jury. |
| 6 | 19 | +1/2 | F | 40 | Married – 2x before as well/ Medical office supervisor. SP: PA. | Thoughtful response –good DP answer. | Leader, detail, safety. No DV | F/U #113 –skipped this answer. | Smart thoughtful, fair juror. No life baggage @ all. Many of the issues in this trial will be new for her. She lacks any kind of awareness of these types of issues. I believe this juror will have lil tolerance for the DEF stories & drama. Would be interested to know about her prior marriages?? Speaking to this juror will be important due to her lack of life exp. |
| 7 | 23 | XX | F | 67 | Married/ retired teacher. SP: retired sales. | Non-committal. Mormon. Doesn't know position on DP –church. | Deps on sit. Leader/follower, detail, safety. Mormon –went to BYU. Brother – infidelity. No DV. Knows about the minister's wife case- "May have been the only recourse." | F/U #23 –national attn. may make her nervous. Skipped #67 "depends". Skipped #74- DEF being a female. Skipped #85 & 86 –QC/perfection. F/U #101 & 102-explanation on "it may have been the only recourse." F/U on Kevin Wilson –may know him-church. F/U #120 – mixed feelings on serving. | Older Mormon wife, no baggage. However, too major concerns: her response to minister's wife murder case. & her ambivalent feelings on DP. Her answer about minister case I very very concerning – closely mirrors our case. Potential very dangerous juror. |

| # | Juror # | SJQ Rank | Sex | Age | Educ/Occup | DP | DV/Life Exp | Follow up Q's & F/U for Hardship/Cause | Notes |
|-----------|---------|----------|-----|-----|--|--|--|---|---|
| 8 | 42 | + | M | 38 | Single/works USAA-insurance sales. | Pragmatic on DP. Little info or onside info on DP cases. | Leader, detail, safety. No DV. Grief counseling –losing close family member. | F/U #106. | Guy is a blank slate – no baggage no drama – no other life exp. Other than loss of close family member –counseling. See no red flags that would concern me. Listening to his in-court answers will be crucial. |
| 9 | 47 | ++ | M | 55 | Single Albertsons truck driver | OK with it – would need a lot of thought and consideration | Leader, both detailed-big pic, safety; rides Harleys; | Doctor appointment 2/12/14 about “upcoming surgery” – when is the surgery? F/U Q101 opinions formed on tv shows on DV | Appears to be a fair juror with no life baggage or drama; has no strong initial feelings against DP and am ok with his answer; believe he could be a strong state juror |
| 10 | 50 | XX ½ | F | 56 | Married Exec coach and Corporate director; sp sales J&J Is a CPA | Has its place – used rarely and only for heinous crimes; her opinion has changed due to articles about improper convictions and mistakes made Very weak DP | Leader; big pic; risk; No direct exp – movies such as Burning Bed and kids killing parents over abuse – it can happen – people snap; doesn't know what BWS is; | F/U on Q 55 – may have problem with witness memory due to length of time; F/U Q. 80 incident as a teen; F/U on Q. 103 | My husband knows her – and she was his exec director at the AZ Lottery years ago – she is smart and well read and educated; however, she has strong pro-female values and is sympathetic to the under-trodden; if she buys the victim story she becomes our worst juror. Very dangerous juror – says she is sensitive to violence – maybe try and get her for cause???? |
| 11 | 56 | XXX | M | 57 | Married City of Gilbert City Judge Sp teacher | Answers are very “law like” doesn't show his hand in any answers | Vague on details of shows/article read about DV and violence/murder; | Skipped Q 47-49 F/U Gilbert officers he knows | City of Gilbert City Judge – says he knows officers on our witness list- I know Judges don't like to kick other judges off – but this one is on the bench in Gilbert I would never let a lawyer or judge sit on a DP case – too many cooks and experts in the courtroom/jury room. Way to dangerous for both sides |

| # | Juror # | SJQ Rank | Sex | Age | Educ/Occup | DP | DV/Life Exp | Follow up Q's & F/U for Hardship/Cause | Notes |
|-----------|---------|----------|-----|-----|--|--|---|--|--|
| 12 | 59 | XXX | F | 28 | Single ASU Law School – career center | | | F/U for Cause - Q. 118 “would haunt her” Interacts with members of MCAO for work | Has a moral, religious issue with DP as she is afraid it will haunt her gets her for cause. Strong words that even if she waffles – I believe she will never give the DP Get her for Cause |
| 13 | 62 | XX | F | 32 | Married Asst. teacher Montessori Sp disabled with MS was an enrollment counselor BA English | DP may be a little weaker than I would like – not opposed to it – but will look at each issue very closely and will probably error on the side of no DP in this case | Leader, detailed, safety – loves movies and reading; had counsel for depression/anxiety Believes BTS exists but doesn't always justify – each woman different | F/U Q. 11 Wants to serve but says her employer may have more of an issue than she has | She is looking to make a difference – Q120 which bothers me a bit – on paper she is not our worst juror – but is weak in some areas especially DP – she has a disabled husband and will probably not be suckered in by defendant's lies/stories – but just think she's not a DP kind of lady Let's lose her for hardship – might be best |
| 14 | 63 | 0+ | F | 55 | Married/ she has a masters degree – certified legal nurse consultant. works for season's health care mgmt.-hospice –nat dir of compliance. SP: security industry spec. –col in army reserves | Keeps saying “maybe” for DP. Believes in DP if its intentional & brutal. In theory she is strong on DP – doesn't know if she can do it again. | Leader, big pic, safety. Ex-husband abusive emotionally, mentally & phys. Ex-husband cheated on her. “Killing is wrong but self DEF if you think you were going to die, she would fight back”. Not familiar with BWS. | Q 118 – maybe; was a prior DP juror and it was hard on her; Q. 120 – difficult on DP. F/U #43. #62- Uncle in law was murdered –no one was caught. F/U Ex-husband abusive emotionally, mentally & phys. F/U #101 & 102. | Even though she voted DP last time – she is saying she's not sure she can do it again as the last time was traumatizing. This is a good juror on paper –she has already say thru a DP trial & voted for G. however, strong law & order. & I believe she wont buy this DEF lies. My concern is she is leery & unsure if she can vote DP again – because of trauma of this decision n 80's –need a lot more info |

| # | Juror # | SJQ Rank | Sex | Age | Educ/Occup | DP | DV/Life Exp | Follow up Q's & F/U for Hardship/Cause | Notes |
|-----------|---------|----------|-----|-----|---|--|---|---|---|
| 15 | 66 | 0 | M | 30 | Married/ USAA –sales & service. SP: same. Attending RIO for Chemistry degree. | Not religious. DP- should only be used in worst murder cases “aggravated” murder. Life in prison is more compassionate. Trusts CRJ- DP is only used in severe cases | Leader, detail, safety. No DV. Saw psych for anxiety- didn’t like the meds so he stopped taking them. Never heard of BWS. | F/U he needs to ensure that he has transportation to the courthouse. | Appears to have a softer side- but I wouldn’t call it weak. Sees DP as a last resort & to only be used judiciously. Trusts the system & would prob agree with the verdict but not sure that he could vote DP. Need to here VD on this juror on DP specifically. This appears to be his main weakness –otherwise he is fine. |
| 16 | 75 | 0-X | F | 26 | Single/ TA @ ASU. Ph.D. graduate student. | Not religious. some circumstances where its appropriate – is limited. As a teen she was anti-DP can see that it is appropriate in limited circum. Sees the separation of the G verdict phase as a decent safety mechanism. | Leader, detail, safety. Vaguely recalls battered SP syndrome. Studies social psych (not clinical). | F/U- #120. ASU. Ph.D. graduate student- does she have the ability to serve? What did she get her MA & & what is she getting Ph.D. in? | She’s obviously very smart, no baggage or drama. However very concerned about her criteria on DP. She keeps specifying limited. I can’t tell what her triggers are going to be. May be weaker than we would like on DP. She will need more VD to get an accurate assessment |

| # | Juror # | SJQ Rank | Sex | Age | Educ/Occup | DP | DV/Life Exp | Follow up Q's & F/U for Hardship/Cause | Notes |
|-----------|---------|----------|-----|-----|--|--|--|---|--|
| 17 | 77 | XX | F | 55 | Married/ 2 prior marriages. Works at Medtronic – product analyst (37 years). SP: realtor | Not religious. If the state proves without a reasonable doubt- G – then DP should be enforced. Troubled by a “reasonable doubt” comment. | Leader & follower, detail, safety. Works with rescue dogs – trains and shows them. We make medical devices that require high level of perfection. No DV. Read on some of the issues – no opinions formed. | Q110 “without a reasonable doubt” for DP; Q 64. F/U Sister-stepson –his own murder charges (sentenced to death)-did she go thru the process? Bob shuts – prosecutor. F/U #110, 111. Skipped #113. Need a lot of VD on DP. | Not the legal standard. This juror bugs me. She troubles me. Esp. because of her family relationship with sister stepson who is sitting on Death Row for heinous murder. Her word usage implies that she is uneducated. This makes me think she is fairly dumb. All VD needs to be focused spec. of DP & her family exp. |
| 18 | 80 | ++ | F | 34 | Single/ Pharmacist – Bashas. Used to be a paramedic. | Good answer on DP. No religious issues. | Leader, detail, risk. Her profession requires a high degree of precision. | F/U Visited good friend's ex-fiancé in jail (2 yrs ago)? | Seen a lot as a paramedic. Very smart. I view her similar as a fireman. Somewhat de-sensitized by all the drama. No nonsense juror. Wants to serve. Appears to be solid. |
| 19 | 85 | XXX | F | 43 | Divorced/ works in customer service for insurance company. | Doesn't have any differing feelings between life versus DP. “Cannot select only one” #113. | Leader, safety conscience. Mother's BF was abusive to her & others – he was killed. V of attempted rape (friend of friend). Looks like it may have been in HS? Witnessed abusive relationships with her father. She was in co- | Bi-polar and is on meds; has a ton of DV, attempted rape. Skipped #48. F/U #69. F/U #106 – Bipolar disorder. | Not surprised by bi-polar after reading SJQ – harder to track thoughts. Hot holy mess. Illiterate. All over the place. Her abuse & V – feelings of her abuser alone should be the reason we get rid of her. |

| # | Juror # | SJQ Rank | Sex | Age | Educ/Occup | DP | DV/Life Exp | Follow up Q's & F/U for Hardship/Cause | Notes |
|---|---------|----------|-----|-----|------------|----|-------------|--|-------|
|---|---------|----------|-----|-----|------------|----|-------------|--|-------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|----|-----|---|----|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | depend. Relationships. She was thankful when her abuser was killed. | | |
| 20 | 86 | ++ | M | 67 | Div Ret Navy Maintenance | DP has its place. Feels life could be worse for some. | Leader, Detailed, Risk No DV exp or opinions | F/U on Q 120 – why does he want to serve? | Appears to have no baggage or drama; pro police due to son being an officer and his past lifetime of military service; is not soft or emotion based; no nonsense especially re DP – wished he was a little stronger on the science |
| 21 | 89 | XX | M | 56 | Married Embedded Test R&D engineer | DP irrevocable – serious stuff – DNA freed folks wrongly convicted Religious 1 st So Baptist Tends to favor life imprisonment. Could not offer informed opinion Q113 – weak DP | Follower, detailed, safety Wife robbed at gunpoint 3 times at work, home burglary, father-in-law killed by distracted driver. Trusts & supports police Can't stand reality tv; son going thru divorce; | Needs 2 one week breaks to coordinate medical needs for Mom in New Mexico - He seemed to think it would be OK due to spring break court is giving – but thought it was only one week and he appears to need 2 weeks? F/U on wife robbed 3 times; | Takes a ton of medications; wants to serve because it's a chance to be involved in something that matters and he's blessed to have an employer with the time off – past jury experiences positive for him – even tho juror misconduct in 3 rd trial. Need extensive voir dire on DP because I believe he is weak and may become protective of defendant as a woman and his weaker DP views/religion may play a part – let's try and get him booted if possible |
| 22 | 91 | 0-X | F | 50 | Married Flight Attendant Spouse – Cox Comm/Bus Svcs | Perfers Life to DP – unless murder of a child – then stronger DP; says she was | Very vague about marital difficulties – sees infidelity as a flite attendant believes “battered | Flight attendant who questions working week-ends (Q. 122) Need to make sure she doesn't get stranded (flights cancelled/delays) during week-ends and misses | Sees things in shades of gray – not black & white – thinks she is pro DP but is actually weaker in this case because of the circumstances; fearful she will find a lot of gray areas in this case especially |

| # | Juror # | SJQ Rank | Sex | Age | Educ/Occup | DP | DV/Life Exp | Follow up Q's & F/U for Hardship/Cause | Notes |
|---|---------|----------|-----|-----|------------|----|-------------|--|-------|
|---|---------|----------|-----|-----|------------|----|-------------|--|-------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----|-----|---|----|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | | raised catholic and disagrees with church's position on DP | woman syndrome" can be real Was flying on 9/11. Feared for life | court on Monday F/U on Q 113 – not looking for statistics – just gut feeling – also on DP and her answer to Q 110 “situational” | because of her answer on BSW; not my favorite juror – but could change my mind with some good voir dire. |
| 23 | 101 | 0-X | M | 49 | Divorced Layout Designer for Intel Corp. | Supports DP for certain cases – not burdened beyond feeding & care, however, believes that life is appropriate for most murder, rape & other violent crimes. | No DV Leader, Detailed, Safety Owns 4 handguns. Girlfriend is a clinical social worker | F/U on Q 110-111 – which certain cases – need to hear him talk about this; | Would choose not to be a juror, but would serve if chosen as civic duty Doesn't have any sig issues which are red flags except he is weak on DP – otherwise looks to be a law & order kind of juror – GF is a do-gooder social worker and may have some influence – his DP answers were a little surprising so need to hear more from him about his feelings about what his trigger is. |
| 24 | 109 | 0-+ | M | 24 | Single Inbound Fulfillment at Amazon | DP appropriate in some situations, especially if vindictive - but also feels life is better – forces them to live with what they did. | No DV. Follower, detailed, safety. Owns guns – is a hunter. Thinks self defense ok – but should take yourself out of situation | F/U Q 67 – checked all the boxes F/U Q 120 – not sure if he can impartial F/U Works the overnight shift – plans to still work???? | As a 24 year old male he immediately assumes def and Cook sleeping together – He is young and takes much at face value – not a deep thinker. Would be a follower so if placed with some stronger jurors – could be ok – will see defendant as vindictive which is a trigger for him. Need some voir dire tho because he has me a little worried on DP. |

| # | Juror # | SJQ Rank | Sex | Age | Educ/Occup | DP | DV/Life Exp | Follow up Q's & F/U for Hardship/Cause | Notes |
|-----------|---------|----------|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|--|
| 25 | 112 | 0-+ | M | 28 | Single Waiter/Server part-time student @Paradise Community College | Views DP as a cost costing measure to punish a criminal then benefitting the public Favors life. Feels spending time removed from society is a more effective punishment. Unsure of the depth of his feelings | No DV. Believes in the due process of law. Leader, big picture, risk Is a gambler; watches discovery, science, and military channels; | F/U on DP answers Q 110 and 111 | Has very little life experiences which affect his thinking and the way he views this case. He has an interesting perspective and I'm interested in hearing him speak – he is weaker than I would like on DP – but doesn't sound like it's a hard bias. He doesn't appear to be dangerous juror on paper – so voir dire is important. He'll be fine on underlying charge – it's just the DP that's weak . |
| 26 | 113 | XX | M | 62 | Married City of Phx Parks Dept. Spouse – preschool teacher BS Education | DP – only after all reasonable doubt has been eliminated - Jury must be 100% sure of testimony & evidence. Life is for those that the state cannot prove forethought or planning capital crime | No DV Follower, detailed, safety He and wife went to counseling after their son died in 1999 | F/U on Q 62 – his wife's robbery of purse and dissatisfaction F/U on son's death in 1999 – sickness or accident??? F/U on his understanding of reasonable doubt and burden of proof | This guy bothers me a lot – especially given his wording on the DP questions and his prior not guilty on 2 jury trials – one was a manslaughter – is it is unreasonable expectation of elimination of reasonable doubt? His wording is very careful and even vague at times. |

| # | Juror # | SJQ Rank | Sex | Age | Educ/Occup | DP | DV/Life Exp | Follow up Q's & F/U for Hardship/Cause | Notes |
|---|---------|----------|-----|-----|------------|----|-------------|--|-------|
|---|---------|----------|-----|-----|------------|----|-------------|--|-------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----|------|---|----|---|---|--|--|--|
| 27 | 121 | XXX | M | 26 | Married/2 AA degrees; GCC & Az Art Inst./O'Reilly Auto Parts, Catalog Tech Spouse: Insurance/Am Fam | Doesn't know where stands/is in favor of life impris./doesn't know if could handle DP/accomplice to a death | No DV. Christ Church of the Valley, Christian/"Thou Shalt Not Kill" Follower/detail/safe | Q118, 120 – accomplice to death; Skipped Q 43, 113 Skipped Q43, 114, doesn't know DP, Q118, 120 religious | This juror needs to go for Cause. |
| 28 | 124 | +1/2 | F | 38 | Divorced/U of P, ASU; AA in finance, studied sociology Field Officer at AZ State Dept. | Favors DP if crime proven and fits circumstances. DP used too seldom | No DV; Radiant Church (?); leader/detail/risk | Pre-paid travel on 5/2/14; F/U #62 friend murdered in her car- why doesn't she feel she witnessed this crime? Skipped Q95-Immunity. | Will prob lose her for her travel plans –first week in May. If not, then need a lot more info about circumstances, her friend being murder, shot, burglary of her car- I am confused about this. Need more details. This circumstance may explain her pro-DP position. |

| # | Juror # | SJQ Rank | Sex | Age | Educ/Occup | DP | DV/Life Exp | Follow up Q's & F/U for Hardship/Cause | Notes |
|-----------|---------|----------|-----|-----|--|---|--|---|---|
| 29 | 134 | XX | F | 40 | Married/HS diploma, Mohave CC/class in legal terms/ Buckeye USD, paraprofessional (TA)/Spouse: Harquehala Power, Mnt Mechanic; 1 st husband nurse | DP necessary option/difficult decision/ Conflicted feelings/ Concerned with taxpayer cost | Ex-husband verbally/emotion ally abusive. Friends divorced because of infidelity. Suffers with anxiety/depress Sought psych Follower/detail/ Safety; Someone molested by cousin; | F/U Q12 gastro problems, will needing to go to bathroom a lot be disruptive? F/U #16 anxiety. F/U Past emot abuse by ex; F/U Psych counseling #106 (anxiety). | Can we get her for Hardship/C? While her DP appears very wishy washy its not so much her answers per say. but her answers in conjunction with her life exp/DV & sig anxiety which concerns me much more. She doesn't have the emotional fortitude to be a strong juror for the state. |
| 30 | 135 | XX1/2 | M | 40 | Married/Fla. CC /ACI Tech, Resp Therapist/ Spouse: BA US Air Revenue Accounting Specialist/in Navy Spouse: Dr. Licensing Coordinator/ IPC | DP if crime severe enough; | DV, not sure; doesn't agree "for most part" on hitting woman | F/U Q103 – not sure of abuse F/U Q. 114 – checked both; F/U Q 103, Q 120; "not sure if will affect" | My primary concern is his natural inclination in this early stage that she was being sexually assaulted & therefore justified / he appears to be giving her benefit of the doubt. He is indicating in the SJQ that he is concerned about this & therefore addressing this in VD will be crucial and maybe an upward battle if he continues to give her benefit of doubt. Just seems to be leaning on her side. Also gave odd answer to "not agreeing for most part on hitting a woman" –confuses me as he was talking like a protector of women. Unless he radically changes his tune – he has to go. |